Review Article :

Integrating Genomic Medicine into Public Health: A Comprehensive Review of Advancements, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations

Chandar Kanta Chauhan[1], Arjun Khunger[2], Abhishek Lachyan[3], Kshitij Choudhary[1], Shivani Aloona[1]

1. Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education & Research, Chandigarh, India.
2. Department of Hospital Medicine, PeaceHealth Sacred Heart Medical Center, Springfield Oregon USA.
3. Apex Regional STD Centre, Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi, India.

Received on Jun 02, 2024, accepted for publication on Oct 02, 2024, dx.doi.org/10.5455/mjhs.2025.01.010

Corresponding author:
Kshitij Choudhary. Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education & Research, Chandigarh, India.
Email: kshitijmaanas@yahoo.in

OPEN ACCESS Distributed under Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Abstract

Background and Aims:
This review explores the integration of genomic medicine into public health, with a focus on advancements, challenges, and ethical considerations. The objectives included understanding disease predisposition, enhancing diagnostics, and optimizing treatment plans to improve population health outcomes.

Methods:
This review evaluates current literature, case studies, and ongoing public health genomics initiatives, such as the Genomic England 100,000 Genomes Project, to assess successful integration strategies and identify barriers to implementation.

Result:
Genomic advancements, such as precision medicine, demonstrate considerable potential in personalized healthcare. However, challenges remain, particularly concerning accessibility, high costs, and ethical issues like privacy, informed consent, and genetic discrimination. Addressing these issues requires the development of comprehensive governance frameworks.

Conclusion:
The successful integration of genomics into public health will depend on interdisciplinary collaboration, investments in education, equitable access, and ongoing research. Ethical governance frameworks and active community engagement are essential for ensuring responsible genomic integration and achieving positive health outcomes.

Keywords:
Case Studies, Ethical Considerations, Future Directions, Genomics, Precision Medicine, Public Health

Introduction:

Genomics, the comprehensive study of an organism's entire set of DNA has dramatically reshaped our approach to public health. The advent of high-throughput sequencing technologies has provided unprecedented insights into the human genome, facilitating the discovery of genetic variants associated with numerous diseases. This foundational knowledge has set the stage for significant advancements in disease prevention, diagnosis, and treatment.

Recent technological breakthroughs, such as CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing, have revolutionized our ability to address genetic mutations at their source. CRISPR technology allows for precise modifications of the genome, offering potential cures for inherited disorders and paving the way for novel therapeutic approaches. This capability extends beyond single-gene disorders, addressing complex genetic conditions through targeted interventions. In addition to gene-editing technologies, multi-omics approaches, which integrate genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data, have emerged as powerful tools for understanding disease mechanisms. By combining these diverse data types, researchers can gain a more comprehensive view of the biological processes underlying health and disease, leading to more personalized and effective public health strategies.

The integration of genomic data into public health has already demonstrated considerable promise. Genomic biomarkers are increasingly used to identify individuals at risk for conditions such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and infectious diseases. This early identification enables targeted preventive measures and personalized treatment strategies, potentially transforming disease management at both individual and population levels. However, the incorporation of genomic medicine into public health frameworks is not without its challenges. Ethical concerns, such as privacy and data security, are paramount, given the sensitivity of genetic information. Furthermore, there are issues related to the accessibility of genomic technologies, which may exacerbate existing health disparities if not addressed. The development of robust regulatory frameworks is essential to ensure that genomic advancements are implemented equitably and responsibly.[1,2]

The objectives of the study were to explore how genomic insights can reveal individual predispositions to specific diseases, enabling targeted preventive measures and personalized health interventions. To investigate the role of genomic medicine in enhancing diagnostic accuracy, facilitating earlier disease detection, and informing effective treatment strategies. To examine

how genomic information can guide tailored treatment plans, reducing adverse reactions and improving therapeutic outcomes., and to assess the potential of integrating genomic data into public health strategies to address population-level health disparities and improve overall well-being.

The integration of genomic medicine into public health is rapidly evolving, with several recent applications showcasing its transformative potential. One notable advancement is the use of genomic surveillance for tracking infectious diseases. Real-time genomic tracking of pathogens, such as SARS-CoV-2, has enabled public health authorities to respond more swiftly to outbreaks and adjust public health measures based on observed genetic variations. Another significant development is the utilization of polygenic risk scores (PRS), which estimate an individual's genetic predisposition to complex diseases like cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and certain cancers. Incorporating PRS into screening programs allows for more precise risk stratification and preventive interventions on a population level.

CRISPR-based diagnostics also represent a breakthrough, offering rapid and cost-effective tools for pathogen detection and genetic marker identification. This application of CRISPR technology extends its utility beyond gene editing to address global health challenges such as tuberculosis and malaria.

Furthermore, the convergence of multi-omics data has provided a holistic perspective on health, enabling the identification of complex biological pathways and facilitating personalized preventive strategies. This approach is particularly valuable for addressing chronic and age-related diseases, offering new avenues for early detection and intervention. These advancements underscore the transformative potential of genomic medicine in public health, signaling a shift towards a future where health management is increasingly proactive, precise, and personalized.[3]

Literature Review:

Genomic Medicine's Role in Public Health: Advancements

Genomic Medicine in Disease Prevention: Recent research has highlighted the transformative potential of genomic information in identifying individuals at higher risk for specific diseases. This capability enables targeted preventive measures and personalized health interventions, shifting from a generalized approach to one that addresses individual genetic risks.[3] Advances in predictive genomics support precision medicine, which emphasizes customized healthcare strategies over a one-size-fits-all model.[4]

Enhanced Diagnostics and Early Disease Detection: The advent of high-throughput sequencing technologies and innovative diagnostic tools has markedly improved the accuracy and efficiency of disease diagnosis. Genomic diagnostics, including liquid biopsy techniques and non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT), are at the forefront of early disease detection. These methods facilitate timely interventions and enhance patient outcomes by providing more accurate and early identification of diseases.[5,6]

Pharmacogenomics and Tailored Treatment Plans: Pharmacogenomics has significantly advanced, offering insights into how an individual's genetic makeup affects their response to medications. This knowledge allows for the development of personalized treatment plans that minimize adverse drug reactions and optimize therapeutic efficacy.[7] This approach enhances patient care by ensuring that treatments are tailored to individual genetic profiles.[8]

Challenges: Accessibility and Affordability: One of the primary challenges in integrating genomic medicine into public health is limited accessibility. Genomic testing is often concentrated in well-resourced healthcare centers, which can exacerbate health disparities among different populations.[9] Additionally, the high costs associated with genomic testing and its interpretation create barriers to widespread adoption, raising concerns about equitable access to these advanced technologies.[10]

Ethical Considerations: Ethical issues are central to the integration of genomic medicine. Privacy concerns regarding the collection, storage, and use of genetic data are paramount. Ensuring that individuals' genetic information is safeguarded against misuse is crucial.[11] The complexity of genomic data also poses challenges in its interpretation and translation into actionable insights, necessitating robust ethical guidelines to address these concerns.[13]

Health System Integration: Integrating genomic data into existing health systems presents significant challenges. Effective integration requires substantial infrastructure, including interoperable databases and trained healthcare professionals. There is a need for coordinated efforts to manage and utilize genomic data effectively within healthcare settings.[14]

Ethical Considerations: Informed Consent and Autonomy: Informed consent is a critical ethical consideration in genomic testing. Ensuring that individuals fully understand the risks and benefits associated with genomic testing, and maintaining control over their genetic data, is essential.[14] Balancing the need for informed consent with the benefits of large-scale genomic initiatives remains an ongoing challenge.[15]

Genetic Discrimination and Stigmati-

zation: The potential for genetic discrimination in employment, insurance, and other social contexts is a significant ethical concern. Measures must be implemented to prevent unfair disadvantages based on genetic information.[16,17] Additionally, addressing the potential stigmatization of individuals based on their genetic makeup is crucial for fostering an inclusive healthcare environment.[18]

Data Ownership and Control: Determining ownership and control of genetic data involves ethical questions about transparency and individual agency. Clear agreements on data ownership and control are necessary to ensure that individuals have a say in how their genetic information is used and shared [19,20]

Methods:

Literature Review and Data Gathering: A systematic approach was employed to review the literature on the role of genomics in public health. Key academic databases, including PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar, were searched for relevant peer-reviewed articles, reviews, and reports. The search strategy involved using controlled vocabulary terms and free-text keywords related to "genomic medicine," "public health," "genetic testing," and related concepts.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Inclusion criteria included studies published within the last ten years and in English. The review focused on primary research articles, reviews, and authoritative reports, while commentaries and editorials were excluded. Data relevant to advancements, challenges, and ethical considerations were extracted, including study objectives, methodologies, key findings, and implications.

Thematic Analysis: Thematic analysis categorized literature into overarching themes by identifying commonalities and disparities in research findings related to genomic advancements, challenges, and ethical considerations. Quality assessment criteria considered study design, sample size, and methodology, with high-quality studies given greater weight in the analysis.

Data Synthesis: Data was synthesized to provide a coherent narrative, highlighting patterns and connections between studies. This process aimed to offer a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge regarding the integration of genomics into public health.

Results:

Advancements in Genomic Medicine with Public Health Applications: Precision Medicine and Personalized Risk Assessment: Advances in genomic research have enabled personalized risk assessments based on individual genetic variations. This allows for targeted preventive measures

and enhances disease prevention strategies at the population level.[20]

Genomic Diagnostics for Early Disease Detection: High-throughput sequencing technologies and innovative diagnostic tools have revolutionized early disease detection. Techniques such as liquid biopsy and NIPT contribute to accurate and timely disease identification, facilitating improved health outcomes.[21]

Pharmacogenomics and Tailored Treatment Plans: Pharmacogenomics offers insights into genetic influences on medication response, enabling the development of tailored treatment plans. This approach reduces adverse reactions and optimizes drug efficacy, contributing to more effective and cost-efficient healthcare systems.[22]

Infectious Disease Genomics and Outbreak Management: Genomics has been instrumental in infectious disease control, particularly during outbreaks. Real-time genomic tracking of pathogens aids in identifying transmission routes and developing targeted public health interventions.[23]

Genomic Data Integration into Public Health Programs: Integrating genomic data into public health initiatives, such as population-wide genomic screening, offers a comprehensive understanding of population health. This integration guides the development of tailored public health interventions and policies.[24]

Challenges in Integrating Genomic Medicine into Public Health Programs: Limited Accessibility to Genomic Testing: Accessibility remains a challenge, with genomic testing often limited to well-resourced centers. This disparity can exacerbate existing health inequalities, limiting the benefits of genomic medicine.[26]

Affordability and Cost Barriers: The high costs of genomic testing and interpretation pose barriers to widespread adoption. Addressing affordability through policies promoting equitable access is crucial for maximizing the benefits of genomic advancements.[27]

Education and Genetic Literacy: Effective integration of genomic medicine requires a well-informed public and healthcare workforce. Limited genetic literacy can impede effective communication of genomic information and decision-making.[28]

Ethical and Privacy Concerns: Ethical dilemmas related to privacy, consent, and potential misuse of genetic data need to be addressed to encourage participation in genomic programs and ensure data accuracy.[29]

Complexity of Genomic Information: The complexity of genomic data presents challenges in its interpretation and application. Ensuring that genetic information

is effectively utilized in clinical practice requires overcoming these complexities[30].

Health System Integration: Integrating genomic data into health systems requires developing infrastructure and training professionals. Effective management and utilization of genomic data within healthcare settings are essential for successful integration.[31] This literature review underscores the significant advancements and persistent challenges in integrating genomic medicine into public health. By addressing these challenges and continuing to advance research, the potential for genomic medicine to enhance public health outcomes can be fully realized.

Ethical Considerations in Using Genetic Information in Public Health:

1. Privacy Concerns and Genetic Data Security: The safeguarding of genetic information is crucial. Ensuring protection from unauthorized access and misuse is a primary concern. Balancing data accessibility for research with privacy protection requires rigorous security measures and transparent policies.[32]
2. Informed Consent and Autonomy: Obtaining informed consent is essential due to the profound implications of genetic testing. Ethical issues center around ensuring individuals understand the risks and benefits and have control over their genetic information.[33]
3. Genetic Discrimination and Stigmatization: There is a significant concern regarding genetic discrimination in various sectors such as employment and insurance. Addressing this potential for discrimination and preventing stigmatization is critical to ensure fair treatment based on genetic information.[34]
4. Data Ownership and Control: The ethical dilemma of who owns and controls genetic data is complex. Agreements on data ownership and control need to be transparent, ensuring individuals have control over how their information is used.[35]
5. Community Engagement and Trust: Building trust with communities is essential. Engaging communities in the research process and addressing their concerns helps ensure that genetic initiatives are perceived as respectful and beneficial.[36]
6. Equity and Access: Equitable access to genomic medicine is a fundamental ethical issue. Addressing disparities based on socioeconomic status, ethnicity, or geographic location is necessary to prevent the exacerbation of existing health inequities.[37]
7. Education and Informed Decision-Making: Enhancing genetic literacy and ensuring individuals can

make informed decisions about genetic testing is crucial. Providing accessible and understandable information aligns decisions with individuals' values and preferences.[38]

Case Studies: Successful Integration of Genomic Medicine:

1. The Genomic England 100,000 Genomes Project (UK): This project aimed to sequence genomes from NHS patients to improve diagnoses and treatments. It demonstrated the benefits of genomic medicine in guiding personalized care and highlighted the need for ethical governance and robust data-sharing mechanisms.[38]
2. The All of Us Research Program (USA): This program seeks to create a comprehensive health database by enrolling diverse participants. It focuses on inclusivity and aims to reduce health disparities, emphasizing the importance of representation in genomic studies.[39]
3. Japan's BioBank and National Genomic Programs: These initiatives integrate genomic data with long-term health records to manage age-related conditions more effectively. They underscore the role of genomics in advancing precision medicine.[40]
4. South Africa's H3Africa Initiative: H3Africa explores genetic factors influencing health in African populations and builds research capacity. It highlights the potential of genomics to address public health challenges in low-resource settings.
5. Australia's National Genomic Medicine Program: This program integrates genomic technologies into clinical practice and public health, aiming to reduce the burden of genetic diseases through early detection and screening.[41]
6. India's Genome India Project: This project aims to sequence genomes across India to understand genetic variations and disease markers, addressing the genetic diversity within the population.[42]

Common Lessons and Future Implications:

1. Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Successful genomic integration requires collaboration among geneticists, public health officials, policymakers, and community organizations. This partnership ensures the effective translation of genomic innovations into practice while addressing ethical, social, and economic concerns.
2. Ethical Governance and Transparency: Robust ethical guidelines and transparent governance structures are essential to building public trust and addressing

privacy, consent, and discrimination concerns.[43]

3. Education and Genetic Counseling: Prioritizing genetic literacy and counseling is vital for informed decision-making, enhancing understanding, and facilitating responsible integration of genomic medicine.[44,45,46]

Conclusion:

The integration of genomic medicine into public health presents significant opportunities for personalized care and disease prevention. However, it is crucial to navigate the associated ethical challenges carefully. The lessons learned from various case studies highlight the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, transparent governance, and community engagement. Future efforts should focus on education, equitable access, robust ethical frameworks, and longitudinal research to ensure the effective and responsible use of genomic information in public health.

Key Findings:

- Genomic medicine offers opportunities for personalized disease management and improved public health outcomes.
- Addressing access barriers, ethical dilemmas, and privacy concerns is essential.
- Successful case studies underscore the importance of collaboration, transparency, and education.

Future Directions:

- Invest in education for genetic literacy.
- Develop policies for equitable access to genomic services.
- Establish ethical governance frameworks.
- Promote community engagement in genomic initiatives.
- Support longitudinal research to assess the impact of genomic interventions.

References:

1. Abul-Husn NS, Soper ER, Braganza GT, et al. Implementing genomic screening in diverse populations. Genome Med. 2021;13:17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-021-00832-y.
2. Arredondo-Alonso S, Top J, Corander J, Willems RJL, Schürch AC. Mode and dynamics of vanA-type vancomycin resistance dissemination in Dutch hospitals. Genome Med. 2021;13(1):9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00825-3.
3. Aschenbrenner AC, Mouktaroudi M, Krämer B, et al. Disease severity-specific neutrophil signatures in blood transcriptomes stratify COVID-19 patients.Genome Med. 2021;13(1):7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00823-5.
4. Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health. Areas of Study.https://www.aspph.org/study/#areas-of-study.

Published 2020.

5. Baker DJ, Aydin A, Le-Viet T, et al. CoronaHiT: high-throughput sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 genomes. Genome Med. 2021;13(1):21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-021-00839-5.
6. Berbel Caban A, Pak TR, Obla A, Dupper AC, Chacko KI, Fox L, et al. PathoSPOT genomic epidemiology reveals under-the-radar nosocomial outbreaks. Genome Med. 2020;12(1):96. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00798-3.
7. Burton H, Cole T, Farndon P. Genomics in Medicine: Delivering genomics through clinical practice. Report on the Joint Committee on Medical Genetics. London: PHG Foundation, 2012.
8. Burton H, Cole T, Lucassen AM. Genomic medicine: challenges and opportunities for physicians. Clin Med (Lond). 2012 Oct;12(5):416-9. doi: 10.7861/clinmedicine.12-5-416. Erratum in: Clin Med. 2012 Dec;12(6):504. PMID: 23101139; PMCID: PMC4953761.
9. Bylstra Y, Lim WK, Kam S, Tham KW, Wu RR, Teo JX, et al. Family history assessment significantly enhances delivery of precision medicine in the genomics era. Genome Med. 2021;13(1):3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00819-1.
10. Dheensa S, Samuel G, Lucassen AM, et al. Towards a national Genomics medicine service: the challenges facing clinical- research hybrid practices and the case of the 100 000 Genomes project. J Med Ethics 2018;44:397–403.
11. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. n.d. Available: https://www. cambridge.org/core/journals/disaster-medicine-and-public-health-preparedness
12. Donaldson L. Rare is common. In: Annual report of the Chief Medical Officer. London: DH, 2010: 39–45.
13. Du Plessis L, McCrone JT, Zarebski AE, et al. Establishment and lineage dynamics of the DSARS-CoV-2 epidemic in the UK. Science. 2021;371(6530):708–12. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf2946.
14. Ethical preparedness. https://www.nuffieldbioethics.org/blog/ethical-preparedness. Available: [Accessed 23 Jan 2024].
15. Farsides B, Williams C, Alderson P. Aiming towards "moral equilibrium": health care professionals' views on working within the morally contested field of Antenatal screening. J Med Ethics 2004;30:505–9.
16. Farsides B, Lucassen AM. J Med Ethics Epub ahead of print: [please include

Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/jme-2022108528.

17. Gardy JL, Loman NJ. Towards a genomics-informed, real-time, global pathogen surveillance system. Nat Rev Genet. 2020;19(1):9–20.
18. Geller G, Duggal P, Thio CL, Mathews D, Kahn JP, Maragakis LL, et al.Genomics in the era of COVID-19: ethical implications for clinical practice and public health. Genome Med. 2020;12(1):95. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00792-9.
19. Green RF, Ari M, Kolor K, Dotson WD, Bowen S, Habarta N, et al. Evaluating the role of public health in implementation of genomics-related recommendations: a case study of hereditary cancers using the CDC Science Impact Framework. Genet Med. 2019;21(1):28–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-018-0028-2 Epub 2018 Jun 15.
20. Horton R, Lucassen A. Genomic testing in Healthcare: a hybrid space where clinical practice and research need to Co- exist. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2019;19:963–7.
21. House of Lords Science and Technology Committee. Genomic medicine, volume 1: report. London: Stationery Office, 2009.
22. Human Genomics Strategy Group. Building on our inheritance: genomic technology in healthcare. London: DH, 2012.
23. Infected blood inquiry. 2022. Available: https://www.infectedbloodinquiry.org.uk/ [Accessed 23 Jan 2024]. 6 UK COVID- 19 inquiry. 2022. Available: https://covid19.public-inquiry.uk/ [Accessed 23 Jan 2024].
24. Isgut M, Sun J, Quyyumi AA, et al. Highly elevated polygenic risk scores are better predictors of myocardial infarction risk early in life than later.Genome Med. 2021;13:13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-021-00828-8.
25. Juengst ET, Van Rie A. Transparency, trust, and community welfare: towards a precision public health ethics framework for the genomics era. Genome Med. 2020;12(1):98. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00800-y.
26. Kachuri L, Francis SS, Morrison ML, Wendt GA, Bossé Y, Cavazos TB, et al.The landscape of host genetic factors involved in immune response to common viral infections. Genome Med. 2020;12(1):93. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00790-x.
27. Khoury MJ, Armstrong GL, Bunnell RL, et al. The intersection of genomics and big data with public health: opportunities for precision public health. PLoS Med. 2020;17(10):e1003373.

28. Khoury MJ, Gwinn M, Bowen MS, Dotson WD. Beyond base pairs to bedside: a population perspective on how genomics can improve health. Am J Public Health. 2012;102(1):34–7. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2011.300299.
29. Khoury, M.J., Holt, K.E. The impact of genomics on precision public health: beyond the pandemic. Genome Med 13, 67 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-021-00886-y
30. Knight GM, Glover RE, McQuaid CF, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and COVID-19: intersections and implications. Elife. 2021;10:e64139. https://doi. org/10.7554/eLife.64139.
31. Learning from Bristol: the report of the public inquiry into children's heart surgery at the Bristol Royal infirmary 1984 -1995. 2001. Available: http://www.bristol-inquiry. org.uk/final_report/index.htm
32. Lewis ACF, Green RC. Polygenic risk scores in the clinic: new perspectives needed on familiar ethical issues. Genome Med. 2021;13:14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-021-00829-7.
33. Lucassen A, Gilbar R. Alerting relatives about Heritable risks: the limits of confidentiality. BMJ 2018;361:k1409.
34. Lucassen A, Parker M. The UK Genethics Club: clinical ethics support for genetic services. Clinical Ethics 2006;1:219–23.
35. Lucey M, Macori G, Mullane N, et al. Whole-genome sequencing to track SARS-CoV-2 transmission in nosocomial outbreaks. Clin Infect Dis. 2020; ciaa1433. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1433.
36. Mwale S, Farsides B. Imagining Genomic medicine futures in primary care: general practitioners' views on Mainstreaming Genomics in the national health service. Sociology Health & Illness 2021;43:2121–40. 10.1111/1467-9566.13384 Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/toc/14679566/43/9.
37. Rasmussen SA, Khoury MJ, Del Rio C. Precision public health as a key tool in the COVID-19 response. JAMA. 2020;324(10):933–4. https://doi.org/10.1001/ja ma.2020.14992.
38. Samuel GN, Farsides B. The UK's 100,000 Genomes Project: manifesting policymakers' expectations. New Genet Soc. 2017 Sep 6;36(4):336-353. doi: 10.1080/14636778.2017.1370671. PMID: 29238265; PMCID: PMC5706982.
39. Holm IA, Agrawal PB, Ceyhan-Birsoy O, Christensen KD, Fayer S, Frankel LA, Genetti CA, Krier JB, LaMay RC, Levy HL, McGuire AL, Parad RB, Park PJ, Pereira S, Rehm HL, Schwartz TS, Waisbren SE, Yu TW; BabySeq Proj-

ect Team; Green RC, Beggs AH. The BabySeq project: implementing genomic sequencing in newborns. BMC Pediatr. 2018 Jul 9;18(1):225. doi: 10.1186/s12887-018-1200-1. PMID: 29986673; PMCID: PMC6038274.

40. National Human Genome Research Institute. (1 May 2015). Iceland Study Provides Insights into Disease, Paves Way for Large-Scale Genomic Studies. Genome.gov. https://www.genome.gov/27561444/iceland-study-provides-insights-into-disease-paves-way-for-largescale-genomic-studies.
41. Parker M, Lucassen A. Using a genetic test result in the care of family members: How does the duty of confidentiality apply Eur J Hum Genet 2018;26:955–9.
42. Pashayan N, Duffy SW, Chowdhury S et al. Polygenic susceptibility to prostate and breast cancer: implications for personalised screening. Br J Cancer 2011;104:1656–63.
43. Public Health Alliance for Genomic Epidemiology, http://www.pha4ge.org.
44. Roberts, M.C., Fohner, A.E., Landry, L. et al. Advancing precision public health using human genomics: examples from the field and future research opportunities. Genome Med 13, 97 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-021-00911-0.
45. Royal College of Physicians. Consent and confidentiality in clinical genetic practice: guidance on genetic testing and sharing genetic information, second edition. London: RCP, 2011.
46. Samuel GN, Dheensa S, Farsides B, et al. Healthcare professionals' and patients' perspectives on consent to clinical genetic testing: moving towards a more relational approach. BMC Med Ethics 2017;18:47.